

On Inequalities for Eigenvalues of Matrices

Michael I. Gil'

Department of Mathematics

Ben Gurion University

P.O. Box 653

Beer Sheva 84 105, Israel

Submitted by Richard A. Brualdi

ABSTRACT

New inequalities for eigenvalues of matrices are obtained. They make Schur's and Brown's theorem more precise.

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF THE MAIN RESULT

Let $B(\mathbf{C}^n)$ be the set of all linear operators in a Euclidean space \mathbf{C}^n . For $A \in B(\mathbf{C}^n)$ we denote $\lambda_k = \lambda_k(A)$ and $s_k = s_k(A)$ ($k = 1, \dots, n$) the eigenvalues, counting multiplicity, of A and $(AA^*)^{1/2}$, respectively. By $b_k = b_k(A)$ ($k = 1, \dots, n$) denote the moduli of the eigenvalues of the matrix $A_J = (A - A^*)/2i$: $b_k = |\lambda_k(A_J)|$.

In this paper new inequalities for λ_k , s_k , and b_k are obtained. They make Schur's and also Brown's theorems [1, Chapter 3.1] somewhat more precise.

Below we shall assume $s_1 \geq s_2 \geq \dots \geq s_n$, $b_1 \geq b_2 \geq \dots \geq b_n$.

The aim of this paper is to prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *For any $A \in B(\mathbf{C}^n)$ the inequalities*

$$\sum_{k=1}^j (s_{n-k+1}^2 - |\lambda_k|^2) \leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^j (b_k^2 - |\operatorname{Im} \lambda_k|^2) \quad (1.1)$$

and

$$2 \sum_{k=1}^j (b_{n-k+1}^2 - |\operatorname{Im} \lambda_k|^2) \leq \sum_{k=1}^j (s_k^2 - |\lambda_k|^2) \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, n \quad (1.2)$$

are valid. For $j = n$ one has the equality

$$|A|_2^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n |\lambda_k|^2 = 2 \left(|A_J|_2^2 - \sum_{k=1}^n |\operatorname{Im} \lambda_k|^2 \right). \quad (1.3)$$

Here $|\cdot|_2$ is Schmidt's norm, i.e., $|A|_2^2 = \operatorname{Trace} AA^* = \sum_{k=1}^n s_k^2$, $|A_J|_2^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k^2$. In addition, from our reasonings below it follows that

$$s_1 \geq |\lambda_j| \geq s_n, \quad b_1 \geq |\operatorname{Im} \lambda_j| \geq b_n \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, n. \quad (1.4)$$

Note that the left-hand pair of inequalities in (1.4) is Brown's theorem. The inequality (1.2) makes Schur's theorem more precise.

2. PROOFS OF THEOREM 1 AND ITS COROLLARY

First, we shall prove two lemmata.

Let $\{e_k\}$ be an orthonormal basis of the triangular representation (Schur's basis) of A , i.e.,

$$Ae_k = \sum_{j=1}^k a_{jk} e_j, \quad a_{kk} = \lambda_k \quad (k = 1, \dots, n).$$

From this,

$$A = D + V, \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$Ve_k = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} a_{jk} e_j, \quad De_k = \lambda_k e_k \quad (k = 1, \dots, n).$$

That is, D is the diagonal part and V is the nilpotent (upper diagonal) part of A . Denote

$$P_k = \sum_{j=1}^k (\cdot, e_j) e_j,$$

where (\cdot, \cdot) is the inner product. It is clear that P_k ($k = 1, \dots, n$) are projectors onto invariant subspaces of A .

LEMMA 1. *The equalities*

$$|VP_j|_2^2 = |AP_j|_2^2 - \sum_{k=1}^j |\lambda_k|^2 = 2|P_j A_j P_j|_2^2 - 2 \sum_{k=1}^j |\operatorname{Im} \lambda_k|^2$$

are true for any $A \in B(\mathbf{C}^n)$ and $j = 1, \dots, n$. Here $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_j$ are the eigenvalues of AP_j , counting multiplicity.

Proof of Lemma 1. It is obvious that both matrices V^*D and D^*V are nilpotent. Therefore

$$\operatorname{Trace} D^*VP_j = \operatorname{Trace} V^*DP_j = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

It is easy to see that

$$\operatorname{Trace} D^*DP_j = \sum_{k=1}^j |\lambda_k|^2 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Due to (2.1) and (2.2), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} |AP|^2 &= \operatorname{Trace}\{P_j(D+V)^*(V+D)P_j\} = \operatorname{Trace}(P_jV^*VP + D^*DP_j) \\ &= |VP_j|_2^2 + \sum_{k=1}^j |\lambda_k|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

On the other hand, we have by (2.2)

$$\begin{aligned} |P_j A_j P_j|_2^2 &= \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Trace}\{P_j(A^* - A)^2 P_j\} = \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Trace}\{P_j(D^* + V^* - D - V)^2 P_j\} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Trace}\{(D^* - D)^2 P_j\} + \frac{1}{4} \operatorname{Trace}\{P_j(V^* - V)^2 P_j\}. \end{aligned}$$

Set $V_j = (V - V^*)/2i$, $D_j = (D - D^*)/2i$. Therefore

$$|P_j A_j P_j|_2^2 = |D_j P_j|_2^2 + |P_j V_j P_j|_2^2. \quad (2.4)$$

It is clear that

$$|P_j V_j P_j|_2^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^j \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} |a_{jk}|^2 = \frac{1}{2} |V P_j|_2^2.$$

From this and from (2.4) it follows that

$$|V P_j|_2^2 = 2|P_j A_j P_j|_2^2 - 2|P_j D_j P_j|_2^2.$$

Comparing this and (2.3), we arrive at the result because

$$|D_j P_j|_2^2 = \sum_{k=1}^j |\operatorname{Im} \lambda_k|^2. \quad \blacksquare$$

Notice that the statement of Lemma 1 for $j = n$ is proved in [3, Chapter 2].

We need the following result [1, Section 4.1.5]. Let M be a nonnegative Hermitian $n \times n$ matrix, and $\{g_k\}$ be an arbitrary orthonormal basis in \mathbf{C}^n . Let also $\lambda_1(M) \geq \lambda_2(M) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n(M)$ be the eigenvalues of M , counting multiplicity. Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^j (M g_k, g_k) \geq \sum_{k=1}^j \lambda_{n-k+1}(M) \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, n. \quad (2.5)$$

LEMMA 2. *Let the dimension of the nullspace of the nilpotent part V of a matrix A be equal to $r \geq 1$. Then*

$$\sum_{k=1}^j s_{n-k+1}^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^j |\lambda_k|^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^j s_k^2$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^j b_{n-k+1}^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^j |\operatorname{Im} \lambda_k|^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^j b_k^2 \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, r.$$

Proof of Lemma 2. We can write

$$VP_j = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, r, \quad (2.6)$$

where P_j are projectors onto invariant subspaces of V . We have

$$|AP_j|_2^2 = \sum_{k=1}^j (A^* A e_k, e_k) \leq \sum_{k=1}^j s_k^2(A) \quad (2.7)$$

(see [2, Section 2.4]). According to (2.5) and (2.7), Lemma 1 gives the relations

$$\sum_{k=1}^j s_{n-k+1}^2 \leq |VP_j|_2^2 + \sum_{k=1}^j |\lambda_k|^2 = |AP_j|_2^2 \leq \sum_{k=1}^j s_k^2. \quad (2.8)$$

Similarly we have by Lemma 1

$$2 \sum_{k=1}^j b_{n-k+1}^2 \leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^j |\operatorname{Im} \lambda_k|^2 + |VP_j|_2^2 = 2|P_j A_j P_j|_2^2 \leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^j b_k^2. \quad (2.9)$$

Now the result follows from (2.8), (2.9), and (2.10). ■

Proof of Theorem 1. The relations (2.8) and (2.9) imply

$$\sum_{k=1}^j (s_{n-k+1}^2 - |\lambda_k|^2) \leq |VP_j|_2^2 \leq 2 \sum_{k=1}^j (b_k^2 - |\operatorname{Im} \lambda_k|^2),$$

so (1.1) is proved. The inequality (1.2) is proved similarly. The equality (1.3) follows from Lemma 1 when $j = n$. ■

Proof of the inequality (1.4). Since the dimension of the nullspace of a nilpotent operator is greater or is equal to 1, we have the result by Lemma 2.



REFERENCES

- 1 M. Marcus and H. Minc, *A Survey of Matrix Theory and Matrix Inequalities*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, 1964.
- 2 I. C. Gohberg and M. G. Krein, *Introduction to Theory of Linear Nonselfadjoint operators* (in Russian), Nauka, Moscow, 1965; English transl., Transl. Math. Monographs 18, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R 1, 1969.
- 3 M. I. Gil', *The Operator Function Method in the Theory of Differential Equations* (in Russian), Moscow, Nauka, 1990.

Received 27 November 1991; final manuscript accepted 14 April 1992